Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/787,117	BECKER, ALLAN JAMES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634
I Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final</u>		
(1) <u>Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO)</u> .	(3)	•
(2) Mr. Peter Gilster.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 January 2006	Time: <u>am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1-3		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agrees to amend the preambles of claims 1 and 2 to recite the combination of the safety cage and ladder, consistent with the preambles of dependent claims 8-10. Claims 1 and 3, line 2, will be amended to recite "comprising" in lieu of "Comprising".		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
that to Thompsont		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)